

Current Rule	Proposed Rule	Reason for
<p>RULE 2.052. CALENDAR CONFLICTS</p>	<p>RULE 2.052. CALENDAR CONFLICTS</p>	
<p>(a) Priorities. In resolving calendar conflicts between the state courts of Florida or between a state court and a federal court in Florida, the following case priorities must be considered:</p>	<p>(a) Priorities. In resolving calendar conflicts between the state courts of Florida or between a state court and a federal court in Florida, the following case priorities must be considered:</p>	<p>Subdi give high involving parental termination are conside proceedings priority in conflicts. highest pr resolution proceeding reduce the spend in fos</p>
<p>(1) Criminal cases should prevail over civil cases.</p>	<p>(1) <u>Termination of parental rights cases should prevail over jury and non-jury criminal and civil cases.</u></p>	
<p>(2) Jury trials should prevail over non-jury trials.</p>	<p>(12) Criminal cases should prevail over civil cases.</p>	<p>RULES ADMIN COMMITT 11</p>
<p>(3) Appellate arguments, hearings, and conferences should prevail over trial court proceedings.</p>	<p>(23) Jury trials should prevail over non-jury trials.</p>	<p>BOARD RECOMME 35-0</p>
<p>(4) The case in which the trial date has been first set should take precedence.</p>	<p>(34) Appellate arguments, hearings, and conferences should prevail over trial court proceedings.</p>	

(b) **A d d i t i o n a l Circumstances.** Factors such as cost, numbers of witnesses and attorneys involved, travel, length of trial, age of case, and other relevant matters may warrant deviation from these case priorities.

(c) **N o t i c e a n d Agreement; Resolution by Judges.** When an attorney is scheduled to appear in 2 courts at the same time and cannot arrange for other counsel to represent the clients' interests, the attorney shall give prompt written notice of the conflict to opposing counsel, the clerk of each court, and the presiding judge of each case, if known. If the presiding judge of the case cannot be identified, written notice of the conflict shall be given to the chief judge of the court having jurisdiction over the case, or to the chief judge's designee. The judges or their designees shall confer and

(45) The case in which the trial date has been first set should take precedence.

(b) **A d d i t i o n a l Circumstances.** Factors such as cost, numbers of witnesses and attorneys involved, travel, length of trial, age of case, and other relevant matters may warrant deviation from these case priorities.

(c) **N o t i c e a n d Agreement; Resolution by Judges.** When an attorney is scheduled to appear in 2 courts at the same time and cannot arrange for other counsel to represent the clients' interests, the attorney shall give prompt written notice of the conflict to opposing counsel, the clerk of each court, and the presiding judge of each case, if known. If the presiding judge of the case cannot be identified, written notice of the conflict shall be given to the chief judge of the

undertake to avoid the conflict by agreement among themselves. Absent agreement, conflicts should be promptly resolved by the judges or their designees in accordance with the above case priorities.

Committee Notes

1996 Adoption. The adoption of this rule was prompted by the Resolution of the Florida State-Federal Judicial Council Regarding Calendar Conflicts Between State and Federal Courts, which states as follows:

WHEREAS,
the great volume of cases filed in the state and federal courts of Florida creates calendar conflicts between the state and federal courts of Florida which should be resolved in a fair, efficient and orderly

court having jurisdiction over the case, or to the chief judge's designee. The judges or their designees shall confer and undertake to avoid the conflict by agreement among themselves. Absent agreement, conflicts should be promptly resolved by the judges or their designees in accordance with the above case priorities.

Committee Notes

1996 Adoption. The adoption of this rule was prompted by the Resolution of the Florida State-Federal Judicial Council Regarding Calendar Conflicts Between State and Federal Courts, which states as follows:

WHEREAS,
the great volume of cases filed in the state and federal courts of Florida creates calendar conflicts between the state and

manner to allow for
judicial efficiency
and economy; and

WHEREAS,
the Florida State-
Federal Judicial
Council which
represents the Bench
and Bar of the State
of Florida believes
that it would be
beneficial to formally
agree upon and
publish recommended
procedures and
priorities for
resolving calendar
conflicts between the
state and federal
courts of Florida;

N O W ,
THEREFORE, BE IT
RESOLVED

In resolving
calendar conflicts

federal courts of
Florida which should
be resolved in a fair,
efficient and orderly
manner to allow for
judicial efficiency
and economy; and

WHEREAS,
the Florida State-
Federal Judicial
Council which
represents the Bench
and Bar of the State
of Florida believes
that it would be
beneficial to formally
agree upon and
publish recommended
procedures and
priorities for
resolving calendar
conflicts between the
state and federal
courts of Florida;

N O W ,
THEREFORE, BE IT

between the state and federal courts of Florida, the following case priorities should be considered:

1. Criminal cases should prevail over civil cases.

2. Jury trials should prevail over non-jury trials.

3. Appellate arguments, hearings, and conferences should prevail over trials.

4. The case in which the trial date has been first set should take precedence.

5. Circumstances such

RESOLVED

In resolving calendar conflicts between the state and federal courts of Florida, the following case priorities should be considered:

1. Criminal cases should prevail over civil cases.

2. Jury trials should prevail over non-jury trials.

3. Appellate arguments, hearings, and conferences should prevail over trials.

4. The case in which the trial date has been first set should take

as cost, numbers of witnesses and attorneys involved, travel, length of trial, age of case and other relevant matters may warrant deviation from this policy. Such matters are encouraged to be resolved through communication between the courts involved.

Where an attorney is scheduled to appear in two courts— trial or appellate, state or federal—at the same time and cannot arrange for other counsel in his or her firm or in the case to represent his or her client's interest, the attorney shall give

precedence.

5.
Circumstances such as cost, numbers of witnesses and attorneys involved, travel, length of trial, age of case and other relevant matters may warrant deviation from this policy. Such matters are encouraged to be resolved through communication between the courts involved.

Where an attorney is scheduled to appear in two courts— trial or appellate, state or federal—at the same time and cannot arrange for other counsel in his or her

prompt written notice to opposing counsel, the clerk of each court, and the presiding judge of each case, if known, of the conflict. If the presiding judge of a case cannot be identified, written notice of the conflict shall be given to the chief judge of the court having jurisdiction over the case, or to his or her designee. The judges or their designees shall confer and undertake to avoid the conflict by agreement among themselves. Absent agreement, conflicts should be promptly resolved by the judges or their designees in accordance with the

firm or in the case to represent his or her client's interest, the attorney shall give prompt written notice to opposing counsel, the clerk of each court, and the presiding judge of each case, if known, of the conflict. If the presiding judge of a case cannot be identified, written notice of the conflict shall be given to the chief judge of the court having jurisdiction over the case, or to his or her designee. The judges or their designees shall confer and undertake to avoid the conflict by agreement among themselves. Absent agreement, conflicts should be

above case priorities.

In jurisdictions where calendar conflicts arise with frequency, it is recommended that each court involved consider appointing a calendar conflict coordinator to assist the judges in resolving calendar conflicts by obtaining information regarding the conflicts and performing such other ministerial duties as directed by the judges.

R E V I S E D
AND READOPTED
at Miami, Florida,
this 13th day of
January, 1995.

promptly resolved by the judges or their designees in accordance with the above case priorities.

In jurisdictions where calendar conflicts arise with frequency, it is recommended that each court involved consider appointing a calendar conflict coordinator to assist the judges in resolving calendar conflicts by obtaining information regarding the conflicts and performing such other ministerial duties as directed by the judges.

R E V I S E D
AND READOPTED
at Miami, Florida,

this 13th day of
January, 1995.